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Abstract: Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a serious global public health problem and responsible for most common liver infection worldwide. The infection if contracted early in life, may lead to chronic liver disease, including cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. The aim of this study was to determine Hepatitis B Surface Antigenemia (HBsAg) and risk factors of transmission among apparently healthy asymptomatic individual volunteers in Jos metropolis. In order to estimate and further evaluate prevalence rate of HBsAg in our population of study, a well-structured questionnaire was used to obtain the social and demographic information of consenting participants, while ethical approval was sought and obtained. Two hundred (200) volunteer subjects were enrolled for the study, three milliliter (3ml) of venous blood samples were collected aseptically by venipuncture. Sera obtained were assayed for the HBsAg using the HBsAg test strip (Acon Laboratory incorporated USA). Confirmatory tests were performed for Serum HBsAg by using a third generation enzyme linked Immunosorbent assay (HBsAg ELISA)-(Bio-Rad Laboratories, Incorporated California, USA). Overall results showed a prevalence of 45(22.5%) positivity among subjects screened. Considering gender 25 (12.5%) were males while 20 (10.0%) were females, (p = 0.020). Age distribution showed that subjects aged 25-29 years recorded a prevalence of 10(12.5%); (p = 0.001), this is relatively high in view of the fact that the study subjects were a apparently healthy population. Clinical risk factors showed that 2.5% of sero-positive subject had a history of blood transfusion (p = 0.480), while 4.5% had a record of previous STD infection (p = 0.423). Serological markers among the apparently healthy participants screened showed: 40 (20.0%), 29 (14.5%), 8 (4.0%), 20 (10.0%) and 38 (19.0%) for HBsAg, Anti HBs, HBeAg, Anti HBe and Anti HBC respectively (P< 0.005). Changes in liver enzymes among subjects that tested positive for the HBV showed that: the apparently healthy individuals recorded an abnormality of 13(28.9%) respectively, [P= 0.0144; (P<0.005)]. This study however confirms the presence of Hepatitis B surface antigenemia among apparently healthy individuals in our study locations. This calls for mass immunization and public health education on the dangers of this infectious agent in the population.
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2.0 Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) has been described as a major public health concern, occurring endemically, in all areas of the world (Maddrey et al., 2000). Chronic hepatitis is characterized by the presence of HBsAg in the blood for a period above six months. Although chronic hepatitis B carriers may remain asymptomatic as the infection remains inactive, this could actually progress to liver fibrosis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Yang et al., reported that cirrhosis is present in majority of hepatitis B patients and chronic viral hepatitis is said to play a major role in activating liver fibrosis (Budhu, 2006 and Yang, 2011). HCC is reported to be the “third leading cause of cancer-related death in the world”, with about 78% of HCC being attributed to
viral hepatitis of which HBV is inclusive (Yang, 2011).

Based on the prevalence of HBV chronic carriers amongst adults in the general population, Countries are classified as having low endemicity (< 2%), intermediate endemicity (2%–5%), or high endemicity (> 5%) of infection (Hall, 1994). Hepatitis B is highly endemic in developing nations with large population such as South East Asia, China, sub-Saharan Africa and the Amazon basin (Sharma et al., 2005), with at least 8.0% of the population assuming HBV chronic carrier status. (Alter, 2003). In Eastern and Southern Europe, the Middle East, Japan, and part of South America, mixed pattern of transmission exist, including transmission among infants, early childhood and adult transmission (Lok, 2005).

The endemicity of HBV is low in most developed countries, such as North America, Northern and Western Europe and Australia. In these regions, HBV infects 5.0-7.0% of the population, and only 0.5-2.0% of the population become chronic carriers. In these areas, most HBV infections occurs in adolescents and young adults in relatively well defined high-risk groups, comprising injection drug users, homosexual males, health-care workers, blood transfusion or hemodialysis patients (WHO, 1998). In Africa, hepatitis B virus infection is the most common cause of liver disease which is the third most common cause of death in medical wards with 15-60% seropositivity for HBsAg in normal population (Bojuwoye, 1997; Ugwuja and Ugwu, 2010).

HBV infections constitute a major public health concern in Nigeria while several reports have established the endemicity of HBV by the presence of HBsAg in different groups of population studied from different parts of the country. (Olubuyide, 1997). The evaluation of the data on the prevalence of the HBsAg provided an idea on its prevalent rate in the community (Bhattacharya et al., 2007; Afsar et al., 2010). HBV are blood borne pathogens that can be transmitted through blood transfusion and could pose a huge problem in areas where mechanisms of ensuring blood safety are uncertain (Umolu et al., 2005).

A study carried out on one hundred (100) healthy blood donors in Benin City showed a prevalence of 11.0%, compared to the report from a study conducted in Central Hospital, Warri where a prevalence of 12.0% was recorded. Similarly, a prevalence of 4.4% was reported in another study carried out in Lagos among healthy pregnant women. The prevalence of HBV varies between 2% in developed countries where the prevalence is low to about 8% in developing countries where infection is endemic with sex, age and socio-economic status as important risk factors for infection (Odusanya et al., 2005; Alikor and Erhabor, 2007).

HBV is found in highest concentrations in the blood, and lower concentrations in saliva, semen, vaginal secretions, and wound exudates. The virus can remain viable for >7 days on environmental surfaces at room temperature (CDC, 2008). Sexual activity, especially heterosexual, and injection-drug use account for the majority of HBV transmission in low-prevalence areas (Seeger et al., 2000) while perinatal transmission account for the majority of the transmission in high prevalence areas (Harry et al., 1994). However, HCC might be prevented by early detection and therapy (Xuan et al., 2007).

The prevalence of HBV infection also varies markedly from one region of the world to another. Racial differences between populations, cultural and economic differences in developing countries like Nigeria are factors considered responsible for the differences. The global burden of Hepatitis B remains enormous, due largely to lack of universal HBV vaccination (Alexander and Kowdley, 2006). The prevalence observed from previous studies demands for a routine use of Serological markers for HBV screening in blood banks and antenatal clinics. These tests are obligatory for transfusion safety and may give an idea about the seropositivity rates of a specific region (Afsar et al., 2010).

3.0 Materials and Methods

3.1 Study area: The study was carried out in some parts of Plateau State. This comprises of Jos metropolis comprising parts of Jos South and Jos North, Local Government areas. The selection of these communities was as a result of preliminary investigations conducted among amongst families with symptoms suspected to be an indicator for the HBV.

3.2 Ethical consideration: Informed consent of each participant was obtained prior to testing. Ethical approval for this research work was sought and obtained from relevant authorities.

3.3 Study Population: Subjects studied include; Two Hundred (200) apparently Healthy Individuals. The study included subjects of all sex, aged 3 to 60 years.

Inclusion and Exclusion criteria: Subjects who gave informed consent and are asymptomatic by routine screening, were included in the study, subjects who had once been vaccinated with the required three doses of the vaccine and those who declined to offer consent were excluded from the study.
### 3.4 Collection and processing of specimens:

Three millilitre (3ml) of blood samples were collected aseptically by venipuncture using Syringe and needle. Each blood sample was transferred from the syringe into a carefully labelled plastic microtitre tube containing ethylene diamine tetracetic acid (EDTA) and stored in the refrigerator at 4°C. Each resultant supernatant (Plasma) was carefully decanted into a new labelled tube and stored at -20°C until ready for use.

### 3.5 laboratory analysis:

Assay of collected sample was carried out first by carrying out an On-the-spot testing for HBsAg using the HBsAg test strip (Acon Laboratory incorporated USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Confirmatory tests were then performed with Monolisa HBsAg ULTRA assay (HBsAg ELISA test reagent)(Biorad Laboratories,USA) which is a one-step enzyme Immunoassay according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Hepatitis B Surface Antigen (HBsAg) Detection:** HBsAg test strip manufactured by Acon laboratory incorporated USA was used for the preliminary screening. The HBsAg one step hepatitis B surface antigen test strip is a rapid chromatographic immune assay for the qualitative detection of hepatitis B surface antigen in serum or plasma. The test was carried out and interpreted according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Confirmation by ELISA:** HBsAg ELISA test reagent manufactured by Biorad Laboratories was used for the confirmatory screening. Monolisa HBsAg ULTRA assay is a one-step enzyme Immunoassay technique of the “Sandwich” type for the detection of the surface antigen of the Hepatitis B virus (HBsAg) in the serum or plasma.

**Screening using the 5-panel test kits for Serological markers:** HBV-5 panel test for the qualitative assessment of the markers of hepatitis B virus infection in human serum, plasma and whole blood. The HBV Panel Test is an Immunochromatographic assay method to quickly detect five major markers of HBV infections, HBsAg, Anti-HBs (HBsAb), Anti-HBc (HBcAb), HBeAg and Anti-HBe (HBeAb) in human blood specimens.

**Liver Enzyme determination among seropositive individuals:** All the HBsAg positive samples were assayed for alanine aminotransferase (ALT).

### Results

From the tables below, out of the Two- Hundred (200) subjects screened a prevalence of 45(22.5%) positivity was recorded, considering gender 25 (12.5%) were males while 20 (10.0%) were females. Individuals aged 25-29 years recorded a prevalence of 5.0% compared to the 3.5% prevalent recorded among subjects aged 30-34 years, (Table 1). Risk factors among Apparently Healthy subjects showed that 10(5.0%) of subjects that tested positive had history of alcoholic consumption while 9(4.5) % of the subjects screened had history of Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD), (Table 2). Furthermore, history of hepatitis infection in the family which recorded a prevalence of 3.0%, (Table 3). Response to serological markers showed that the highest rate of positivity recorded with the HBsAg showed 14.5% positivity among sub-

### Table 1: Distributions of Apparently Healthy Subjects Screened Based On Sex and Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total Number Examined. (%)</th>
<th>Male (No. Pos.)</th>
<th>Female (No. Pos.)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% C.I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>110(55.0)</td>
<td>25(12.5)</td>
<td>85(42.5)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>90(45.0)</td>
<td>20(10.0)</td>
<td>70(35.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>30(15.0)</td>
<td>7(3.5)</td>
<td>23(12.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>36(18.0)</td>
<td>6(3.0)</td>
<td>30(15.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-49</td>
<td>24(12.0)</td>
<td>5(2.5)</td>
<td>19(9.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-54</td>
<td>20(10.0)</td>
<td>4(2.0)</td>
<td>16(8.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>15(7.5)</td>
<td>4(2.0)</td>
<td>11(5.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>10(5.0)</td>
<td>3(1.5)</td>
<td>7(3.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 2: Distributions of Apparently Healthy Subjects Screened Based on Clinical Risk Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Total Number Examined. (%)</th>
<th>No. Pos. (%)</th>
<th>No. Neg. (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% C.I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History of Blood transfusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21(10.5)</td>
<td>5(2.5)</td>
<td>16(8.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>179(89.5)</td>
<td>40(20.0)</td>
<td>139(69.5)</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.38-3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of STD Infection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15(7.5)</td>
<td>9(4.5)</td>
<td>6(3.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>185(92.5)</td>
<td>36(18.0)</td>
<td>149(74.5)</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of jaundice in the Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10(5.0)</td>
<td>4(2.0)</td>
<td>6(3.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>179(95.0)</td>
<td>40(20.5)</td>
<td>149(74.5)</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Distributions of Apparently Healthy Subjects Screened Based on other Risk Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Total Number Examined. (%)</th>
<th>No. Pos. (%)</th>
<th>No. Neg. (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% C.I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History of Hepatitis infection in the Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>46(23.0)</td>
<td>6(3.0)</td>
<td>40(20.0)</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>154(77.0)</td>
<td>39(19.5)</td>
<td>115(57.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Infection with HBV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>9(4.5)</td>
<td>5(2.5)</td>
<td>4(2.0)</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>191(95.5)</td>
<td>40(20.0)</td>
<td>151(74.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of HBV as an Infectious Agent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>70(35.0)</td>
<td>4(2.0)</td>
<td>66(33.0)</td>
<td>0.1275</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>170(85.0)</td>
<td>30(15.0)</td>
<td>140(70.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Alcoholic Consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30(15.0)</td>
<td>4(2.0)</td>
<td>6(3.0)</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>170(85.0)</td>
<td>30(15.0)</td>
<td>140(70.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Overall Prevalence of HBV markers among Positive subjects screened

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBsAg</th>
<th>HBeAg</th>
<th>Anti- HBs</th>
<th>Anti- HBe</th>
<th>Anti- HBc</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>40(20.0%)</td>
<td>8 (4.0%)</td>
<td>29 (14.5%)</td>
<td>20 (10.0%)</td>
<td>38 (19.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>160(80.0%)</td>
<td>192(96.0%)</td>
<td>171(85.5%)</td>
<td>180 (90.0%)</td>
<td>162 (81.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 200(100) 200(100) 200(100) 200(100) 200(100) P<0.0001

Table 5: Overall Determination Of Serum Alanine Aminotransferase (Alt) Levels On Positive Subjects Screened

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Total Number of Positive Subjects Screened (%)</th>
<th>Number abnormal ALT Level (%)</th>
<th>Total Number of Normal ALT Level (%)</th>
<th>P- Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>25(55.6)</td>
<td>45(100.0)</td>
<td>32(71.1)</td>
<td>P=0.0144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>20(44.4)</td>
<td>13(28.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion
Screening asymptomatic people is an important instrument in disease detection, prompt diagnosis and intervention especially in silent killers like HBV. This study therefore set out to determine the seroprevalence of HBsAg in an apparently healthy population. Of the 200 subjects screened a prevalence of the 45(22.5%) positivity was recorded, considering gender 25 (12.5%) were males while 20 (10.0%) were females, for HBsAg, this is relatively high in view of the fact that the study subjects apparently healthy population.

In comparison to studies from other parts of the country, the prevalence of infection reported in this study was higher than previous studies conducted in different parts of the country, such as 4.9 and 10.3% reported in Port Harcourt and Jos respectively (Ejele and Ojule, 2004; Sirisena et al, 2002). In a similar study conducted by Akani et al. (2005) among pregnant women in Port Harcourt a low prevalence of 4.3% was recorded, while a prevalence of 21.3% was recorded in a study conducted at Ibadan (Otegbayo et al., 2003) and 17.1% prevalence recorded among sex workers in Nasarawa state (Nneka, 2007). However a slightly higher prevalent rate was recorded in one of the two studies conducted in Jos, with a record of 23.9% and 15.1% prevalence (Uneke et al, 2005; Egah et al., 2007). These differences might not be unconnected with the fact that some of the studies were not from the same risk group.

Individuals aged 25-29 years recorded a prevalence of 5.0% compared to the 3.5% prevalent recorded among subjects aged 30-34 years. Chang, (2007) and Uneke et al.,(2005) found that this age group comprises the sexually active group, which support the role of sexual transmission of the virus (Dawaki and Kawo 2006). However, there was no statistical significant association between the viral infection and age. Similarly there was no significant association between gender and viral infection (P> 0.05) although the prevalence was higher (12.5%) among the males.

It was observed from this study that more males (12.5%) were infected with HBV than females (10.0%) while more females, However Bwogi et al. (2009) in his study reported a lower prevalence of HBV in men than in female and suggested the interplay of circumcision as protective. This was not the case in this study even though it was in an area that male circumcision is mandatory.

Risk factors among Apparently Healthy subjects showed that 10(5.0%) of subjects that tested positive had history of alcoholic consumption, Alcohol remains the single most significant cause of liver disease throughout the Western world and is responsible for between 40 and 80% of cases of cirrhosis in different countries. Several studies in urban areas have reported that alcoholics have an increased prevalence of hepatitis B infection (Jacobson et al, 1992).

Similarly, not only may alcoholics have an increased risk of contracting hepatitis B, but once infected, hepatitis B may occasionally exacerbate liver disease in these patients. Several studies have reported that alcoholic patients with advanced liver disease (cirrhosis) have a higher prevalence of hepatitis B markers than alcoholics with less severe forms of alcoholic liver disease (Jacobson et al, 1992). Hence Abuse in alcohol consumption may favor the development of HBV chronic infection because alcohol can compromise the immune system (Laskus et al, 1988).

This study showed that 9(4.5%) of the subjects screened had history of Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD). Busch (2000) and Lee et al.,(2006) confirmed that sexual and prenatal HBV transmission usually results from mucous membrane exposures to infectious blood and body fluids. Saravanan et al., (2007) reported that HBsAg had been found in all body secretions and excretions. However, only blood, vaginal and menstrual fluids, and semen has been shown to be infectious.

There were no statistically significant differences with respect to contact with patient who had history of jaundice with a prevalence of 2.0%. History of hepatitis B infection in the family recorded a prevalence of 3.0%, Kuhns (2004) reported that HBV infection may also be transmitted between household contacts and between sexual partners, either homosexual or heterosexual, and in toddler-aged children in groups with high HBsAg carrier rate.

The findings of this study indicated 5% of HBV positive cases were alcoholic consumers while 4% are due to STD. However, Lee et al.,(2006) asserts that the major risk factor for both HBV infections is parenternal exposure. HBV is stable on environmental surfaces for at least 7 days, and indirect inoculation of HBV can occur via inanimate objects like toothbrushes, baby bottles, and toys, razors, eating utensils, hospital equipment and other objects, by contact with mucous membranes or open skin breaks (Pan and Zhang, 1996). In this study there were no statistically significant differences with respect to method of nail trimming, method of ear piercing, shaving and subjects with history of blood transfusion.

Serologic testing for the diagnosis of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection involves measurement of a panel of distinct HBV-specific antigens and host antibodies that react to these antigens (Mahoney,1999). In
general, the panel of responses can determine whether a patient is susceptible to infection, immune as a result of resolved infection, immune as a result of vaccination, acutely infected, or chronically infected.

Patients who develop chronic (persistent) HBV infection have a serologic response in the acute phase of HBV infection that is similar to patients who subsequently resolve the HBV infection. With chronic (persistent) HBV infection, HBsAg and anti-HBc (IgG antibodies) generally persist for life, (Weinbaum, 2008). The diagnosis of acute HBV infection is established by a characteristic serologic profile. During acute infection, the appearance of Virologic markers and host antibody responses develop in a typical pattern (Mast et al., 2006). The first serologic marker to appear is hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), which can initially be detected in serum from 1 to 12 weeks (average, 30 to 60 days) after infection.

From this study the highest rate of positivity recorded involved the HBsAg with 20% among the apparently healthy subjects, According to (Lee, 2010). The presence of HBsAg for longer than 6 months after acute infection indicates chronic infection. The detection of HBsAg and absence of IgM anti-HBc in a single serum specimen also generally indicates chronic HBV infection. The HBeAg recorded in this study showed 4.0%.According to Yang et al, 2002 the presence of HBeAg in serum indicate active viral replication. Zhang et al,(2011) in his studies recorded 1.6% HBeAg positivity among young adults while the rate of positivity decreased with age due to the spontaneous seroconversion to the Antibody against the HBeAg.

In the study conducted by Tsai et al,(2008). Liver function tests are used to determine if the liver has been damaged or its function impaired. Elevations of certain liver tests in relation to others aids in that determination ALT result showed abnormality in 28.0% of the subjects screened. ALT levels have been correlated positively with liver inflammation, while patients with persistently normal ALT levels had significantly lower liver damage compared with patients with either intermittent or persistently elevated ALT Levels (Zhang et al, 2011).Therefore measurement of aminotransferase levels by serial observations and analysis remain the most common and convenient way to identify liver inflammation in patients particularly with chronic HBV infection.

**Conclusion:** Prevention is the only safeguard against spread of Hepatitis B infection, through avoiding practices that increase the risk of exposure to infection. Hepatitis B vaccine must be given to high risk groups especially the sexually active groups in the population. Similarly, Health care workers coming in contact with blood and other body Fluids should also be meant to undergo full vaccine regimen against this infectious agent. Professional and public health education and implementation of infection control practices in all health facilities should be followed up and given prompt attention so as to control the spread of Hepatitis B virus in the population.
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